

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,958	LUNDBACK, STIG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Upton	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Sheridan Neimark.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 20 to clarify the relative locations of the open and valved communications of the separation and collection compartments, to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Sheridan Neimark on January 9, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, line 17, after "with", --a lower portion of— has been inserted.

In claim 1, line 21, after "with", --an upper portion of— has been inserted.

In claim 20, line 15, after "with", --a lower portion of— has been inserted.

In claim 20, line 19, after "with", --an upper portion of— has been inserted.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'CU' or similar initials, with a stylized flourish extending to the right.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER